IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

LAWRENCE JOSEPH WUTKA))) Civil Action No.
Plaintiff,)
v.)
SOUTHWEST CREDIT SYSTEMS,)
L.P.)
Defendant.	_)

COMPLAINT

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereafter the "FDCPA"). This law prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair collection practices.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 1337, and supplemental jurisdiction exists for the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
 - 3. Venue lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Lawrence Joseph Wutka is an adult individual residing in Clinton Twp., Michigan.
- 5. Defendant, Southwest Credit Systems, L.P. ("Southwest") is a business entity that regularly conducts business in the Eastern District of Michigan and which has a principal place of business located at 4120 International Pkwy., Ste. 1100, Carrollton, TX 75007. The principal

purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts already in default using mail and telephone, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect said debt.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a debt relating to an account with Comcast (hereafter the "debt").
- 7. The alleged debt at issue arose out of a transaction which was primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff does not and has never owed a debt to Defendant.
- 9. On or around October 1, 2015, Defendant began contacting Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the debt.
- 10. Plaintiff informed Defendant that he was not responsible for the debt and advised Defendant to cease contacting him.
- 11. Notwithstanding the above, Defendant continued contacting Plaintiff with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass Plaintiff.
- 12. By way of example, in or around November 14, 2015, Plaintiff received a letter from Defendant in an attempt to collect the debt and with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass Plaintiff.
- 13. Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner by communicating with any person other than the consumer on more than one occasion.
- 14. Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner by communicating with any person other than the consumer in connection with the collection of a debt.

- 15. Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner by engaging in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 16. Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
- 17. Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner by falsely representing the amount, character or legal status of the debt.
- 18. Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner by using any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain any information concerning a consumer.
- 19. Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 20. Defendant knew or should have known that their actions violated the FDCPA. Additionally, Defendant could have taken the steps necessary to bring their agent's actions within compliance of these statutes, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review those actions to insure compliance with said laws.
- 21. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, who were acting within the scope and course of their employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendant herein.
- 22. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of Defendant as well as its agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, negligent and in wanton disregard for federal and state law and the rights of the Plaintiff herein.

3

23. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained actual damages, including, but not limited to injury to Plaintiff's reputation, invasion of privacy, damage to Plaintiff's credit, out-of-pocket expenses, emotional and mental pain and anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, damage to reputation and pecuniary loss and will continue to suffer same for an indefinite time in the future, all to his great detriment and loss.

COUNT I - VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 25. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) of the FDCPA.
 - 26. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) of the FDCPA.
- 27. The above contacts between Defendant and Plaintiff were "communications" relating to a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2) and 1692a(5) of the FDCPA.
- 28. Defendant violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692b(3), 1692c(b), 1692d, 1692d(5), 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(10), and 1692f, as evidenced by the following conduct:
 - (a) Communicating with any person other than the consumer on more than one occasion;
 - (b) Communicating with any person other than the consumer in connection with collection of the debt;

- (c) Engaging in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt;
- (d) Causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number;
- (e) Falsely representing the amount, character or legal status of the debt;
- (f) Using any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer; and
- (g) Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 29. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 30. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff in the sum of Plaintiff's statutory damages, actual damages and attorney's fees and costs.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

31. Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that relief be granted as follows:

- (a) Actual damages;
- (b) Statutory damages;
- (c) Punitive damages;
- (d) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and

(e) Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

FRANCIS & MAILMAN, P.C.

BY: /s/ Mark D. Mailman

MARK D. MAILMAN, ESQUIRE GREGORY J. GORSKI, ESQUIRE Land Title Building, 19th Floor 100 South Broad Street

Philadelphia, PA 19110

(215) 735-8600

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATE: September 29, 2016